Special values of the Riemann zeta function - a journey from concrete to abstract

Payman Eskandari University of Winnipeg, Nov 2023

Chapter 1: The concrete - I



L. Euler (1707-1783)



o First analytic proof of the infinitude of primes (Euler): $\prod_{p=prime} \frac{1}{1-1/p} = \prod_{p=prime} (1+1/p+1/p^2 + \dots) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n$

@ Euler (around 1740):

0



 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} \begin{cases} \text{converges if } \alpha > 1 \\ \text{diverges if } \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$

 $<\infty$ if # primes $<\infty$

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$

Euler's proof: $\frac{\sin x}{-1} = 1 - \frac{x^2}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{5!} - \cdots$ $sin(x) = (1 - \frac{x}{\pi})(1 + \frac{x}{\pi})(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi})(1 + \frac{x}{2\pi})(1 - \frac{x}{3\pi})(1 - \frac{$ $= (1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2})(1 - \frac{x^2}{4\pi^2})(1 - \frac{x^2}{9\pi^2})\cdots$

 \circ Compare coefficients of $1/x^2$: $-1/3! = -(1/\pi^2)(1 + 1/4 + 1/9 + \cdots)$



Euler: 0

 $\zeta(2k) = \pi^{2k} \cdot (a \text{ rational number})$

Lambert (1760): π is irrational.

 Lindemann (1882): π is transcendental (i.e. there is no nonzero $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $f(\pi) = 0$.

Corollary: $\zeta(2k)$ is transcendental.

$\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$ $\zeta(4) = \pi^4/90$ $\zeta(6) = \pi^6/945$

A different picture : Odd zeta values

- @ Almost nothing known about transcendence/irrationality.
- $f(\pi, \zeta(3), \zeta(5), ...) = 0$
- Apéry (1978): $\zeta(3)$ is irrational.

• Likely expectation in modern times: $\{\pi, \zeta(3), \zeta(5), \zeta(7), ...\}$ should be algebraically independent (i.e. \exists nonzero $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, ...]$ such that

 o We don't even Know irrationality of $\zeta(5).$ Nothing is known about transcendence of any odd zeta value.

Chapter 2: The concrete TI



B. Riemann (1826-1866)

• Distribution of primes: $\pi(x) = \#\{p \le x : p = prime\}$

@ Riemann's visionary work (1859) Consider & as a function of a complex variable: $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ (*Re*(*s*) > 1)

Analytic on the half plane $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : Re(s) > 1\}$

Prime number theorem (Hadamard, de la Valée Poussin, 1896): $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x/\log x} = 1$

Riemann (1859): $\zeta(s)$ extends to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ with a single pole of order 1 at s = 1, and satisfies a functional equation relating $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta(1-s)$:

• 1)
$$\zeta(s) = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\{x\}}{x^{s+1}} dx \Rightarrow \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$$

 $\{s : Re(s) > 0\} \setminus \{1\}$ with a single p

@ 2) Set $\Lambda(s) := \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \zeta(s)$ where $\Gamma(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \zeta(s)$



Riemann's visionary work (cont.) Analytic continuation and functional equation

tends to an analytic function on

pole at 1.



Corollary: Λ extends to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\}$ with single poles at 0,1. It has no zeros outside the critical strip $\{s: 0 \le Re(s) \le 1\}.$

 ζ extends to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{1\}$ with a single pole at 1. It has zeros of order 1 at negative even integers. These are the only zeros of ζ outside the critical strip $\{s: 0 \leq Re(s) \leq 1\}$.

Riemann Hypothesis: All other zeros are on the line Re(s)=1/2. (Riemann proved the PNT assuming this.)



Summary: Two mysterious phenomena:

A. Contrast in the situations for $\zeta(\text{odd} > 1)$ and $\zeta(\text{even} > 1)$.

B. $\zeta(\text{even} < 0) = 0$ with a zero of order 1, and $\zeta(\text{odd} < 0) \neq 0$.

-2 1 3 odd >1 even -----

Chapter 3: The abstract



A. Grothendieck (1928-2014) P. Deligne (born in 1944)

rational coefficients: A variety over Q is obtained by "patching together" affine varieties. \circ Examples: (1) \mathbb{C}^n (3) the projective line: $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}/(z \sim z')$ iff z' = cz for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$) (4) elliptic curves $\{(x, y) : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b\} \ (a, b \in \mathbb{Q})$ (5) Fermal curves $\{(x, y) : x^n + y^n = 1\}$

An affine variety over Q is the zero set of a collection of polynomials with

 $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : f_1(z) = \dots = f_k(z) = 0\}$ $(f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{Q}[z_1, \dots, z_n])$ (2) $\mathbb{C}^{\times} = \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \cong \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : xy = 1\}$

(Var./Q)

X

Betti (singular) cohomology $H^n_B(X)$ de Rham cohomology $H^n_{dR}(X)$ L-adic cohomology $H_1^n(X)$

Example 1) For all connected X, $H^0_B(X) \cong H^0_{dR}(X) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ Example 2) $\mathbb{C}^{\times} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ dim $H^1_B(\mathbb{C}^{\times}) = \dim H^1_{dR}(\mathbb{C}^{\times}) = 1$ $H_1^B(\mathbb{C}^{\times}) =$ spanned by Paired by integration: $H^1_{dR}(\mathbb{C}^{\times})$ spanned by az/z

Vector spaces with additional structure

V. S./ Q V. S./ Q $v. s. / Q_1$

 $dz/z = 2\pi i$ |z| = 1A period of C^{\times}

Philosophy of molives (Grothendieck's dream)

Alg. Geometry

Various non-alg. geometric linearizations

Var./Q

Betti (singular) cohomology $H^n_B(X)$ de Rham cohomology $H^n_{dR}(X)$ } \longrightarrow Periods L-adic cohomology $H_l^n(X)$



Philosophy of molives (Grothendieck's dream) Various non-alg. geometric linearizations Betti (singular) cohomology $H^n_B(X)$ de Rham cohomology $H^n_{dR}(X)$ Periods



The category of motives should be abelian (there should be kernels and cokernels).

Nowadays, we finally have non-conjectural geometric constructions of the category of motives (Ayoub '14, Nori '00s).



A = an abelian category (e.g. category of abelian groups) $B \subset E$ $\longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{q} E/B$ with Im(i) = Ker(q)Siven A and B, an extension of A by B is diagram $B \xrightarrow{l} E \xrightarrow{q} A$ such that Im(i) = Ker(q) (and i is injective And q is surjective).

diagram:

Execusions

Sequivalence of extensions: Two extensions E and E' of A by B are called equivalent if there is a map E -> E' fitting into a commutative

 $B \hookrightarrow \overline{E} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{A}$ $B \hookrightarrow E' \twoheadrightarrow A$

@ Ext(A,B) := the set of equivalence classes of extensions of A by B The identity element of Ext(A,B) is the extension class of

@ In our case of interest, Ext groups will be vector spaces.

Extensions (cont.)

Theorem (Baer '34, Cartan-Eilenberg '42, Yoneda '60): There is a natural binary operation under which Ext(A,B) is an abelian group.

 $B \hookrightarrow B \oplus A \twoheadrightarrow A$

Back to molives

@ 1-dimensional motives: for each integer n, there is a 1-dimensional motive $\mathbb{Q}(n)$. $\mathbb{Q}(-1) := H^1(\mathbb{C}^{\times}); \text{ period } 2\pi i$ $\mathbb{Q}(-n) := \mathbb{Q}(-1)^{\otimes n} = H^{2n}(\mathbb{P}^n) ; \text{ period } (2\pi i)^n$ $\mathbb{Q}(0) = 1$ = the unit object = $H^0(\mathbb{C})$ @ Theorem (Borel '72, Soulé '78, Voevodsky/Levine): Let n>0. Then dim $Ext(1,Q(n)) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=3,5,7,... \\ 0 & n=2,4,6,... \end{cases}$

Chapter 4: From the abstract





A. Beilinson (born 1957)

S. Bloch (born 1944)



We had 2 phenomena:

(Up to a rational factor)

A) $\zeta(2k) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}} \pi^{2k}$ for k>0, while $\zeta(2k+1)$ (k>0) seemed much more mysterious.

B) $\zeta(\text{odd} < 0) \neq 0$, while $\zeta(\text{even} < 0) = 0$ with a zero of order 1:

$$\operatorname{ord}_{s=1-n} \zeta(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=3,5, \\ 0 & n=2,4 \end{cases}$$

Borel's theorem restated: $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1-n}(\zeta(s)) = \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}(1, \mathbb{Q}(n))$

1-n

1,...

,6,...





Beilinson's conjecture (around '82) - p. I: Let $M=H^{l}(X)$ for a smooth projective variety X over Q. Then for i-2n<-2,

 $\operatorname{ord}_{s=i+1-n}L(M,s) = \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}(1,M(n)).$

Borel's theorem verifies this for the trivial motive 1.

Beilinson's conjecture: With M, n as above, the "higher regulator map"

BC known for very few cases: X = a single point (<-> Dedekind zeta functions) by work of Borel in '70s.

-> In the background of B

Modified statements for i-2n $\in \{-2, -1\}$

 $\mathsf{Ext}(1,\mathsf{M}(n))\otimes \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{Ext}_{\mathcal{MH}^+}(1,\mathsf{M}(n))$ is an isomorphism and its determinant with respect to natural rational structures is up to a rational factor equal to the leading coefficient of the Taylor expansion of L(M,s) as s=i+1-n.

In background of $\zeta(2k) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}} \pi^{2k}$: $\text{Ext}(1, \mathbb{Q}(-2k)) = 0 \Rightarrow \det(r) = 1 \Rightarrow \zeta(1 - 2k) \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \zeta(2k) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}} \pi^{2k}$



Grothendieck Period conjecture

ø we havent yet explained half of Phenomenon A.

In any tannakian category: Each object An alg. group

The group attached to a motive M via tannakian formalism is called the motivic Galois group of M.

Grothendieck period conjecture (mid-late '60s): For every motive M over Q.

Motivic Galois Groups: The category of motives is actually tannakian (has tensor products and dual objects).

dim Galmot(M) = transcendence degree of field generated by periods of M.

@ Recall:

dim Ext(1,Q(n)) = 1 for n = 3, 5, 7, ...

Theorem (Deligne '89): For odd n >1, the nontrivial extension of 1 by $\mathbb{Q}(n)$ has a period matrix

Corollary: Let n>1 be odd.
GPC for the nontrivial extension of 1 by $\mathbb{Q}(n)$

Follows by an easy argument using the machinery of tannakian categories and unipotent radicals of Galois groups.

Pf: Since the extension is nontrivial, dim $Gal^{mot} = 2$. Combining with Deligne's theorem we get the implication.

 $\begin{bmatrix} (2\pi i)^{-n} & (2\pi i)^{-n}\zeta(n) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^*$

Some recent work

<---->

- © Ext groups in categories of motives
- Our Unipotent radicals of motivic Galois groups have been studied previously by Deligne, Bertrand, Hardouin, Bertolin, Jossen, etc.
- Theorem (E.): Fix integers a,b,c>1 with b,c distinct and odd. There is a family of motives with period matrices of the form

 $(2\pi i)^{-b-c-1}$ $(2\pi i)^{-b-c-1}$

and 7-dimensional motivic Galois groups. In particular, if GPC holds, then $\{\pi, \zeta(b), \zeta(c), \log(a), *_{1,3}, *_{2,4}, *_{1,4}\}$ is algebraically independent.

unipotent radicals of motivic Galois groups.

Recent work (PJM '23, ANT '23 with K. Murty and a recent preprint on arXiv) further studies unipotent radicals of motivic Galois groups.

$-c-1\zeta(c)$	* 1,3	* 1,4
- <i>b</i> -1	$(2\pi i)^{-b-1}\zeta(b)$	*2,4
	$(2\pi i)^{-1}$	$(2\pi i)^{-1}\log(a)$
)	0	1

Historical note: In 1785 Euler speculated that perhaps there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

 $\zeta(3) = \alpha(\log 2)^3 + \beta \pi^2 \log 2.$

GPC would imply that this speculation is false.

Thank you! :)