Computing π

Narad Rampersad

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Winnipeg

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

- π is commonly defined as the ratio of a circle's circumference to its diameter
- not the only possible definition (e.g., π is twice the least positive x for which cos x = 0)
- π is ubiquitous in mathematics, including many surprising contexts
- for instance: the probability that when one tosses a coin 2n times it comes up heads the same number of times that it comes up tails is approximately $1/\sqrt{\pi n}$

It is well known (Lambert 1761) that the constant

 $\pi = 3.1415926535897932384626433\cdots$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

is irrational.

- Its decimal expansion is non-repeating and non-terminating.
- It seems to behave like a random sequence.
- So how can we compute its expansion?

Some pre-calculus era estimates of π :

Babylonians (ca. 2000 BC):

25/8 = 3.125

• Egyptians (ca. 2000 BC):

 $256/81 \approx 3.1604$

Archimedes (ca. 250 BC):

 $223/71 < \pi < 22/7 \quad (3.1408 < \pi < 3.1429)$

Madhava (ca. 1400 AD):

3.14159265359

- In the pre-calculus era the principal techniques were geometrical.
- Archimedes approximated the circumference of a circle by using inscribed and circumscribed polygons with many sides.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

► The post-calculus era used infinite series.

Archimedes' geometrical method can be boiled down to the following iterative procedure:

Set $a_0 = 2\sqrt{3}$ and $b_0 = 3$. Define

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{2a_nb_n}{a_n+b_n}$$
$$b_{n+1} = \sqrt{a_{n+1}b_n}$$

The b_n (or a_n) give approximations to π .

If Archimedes had a computer (and was able to accurately compute $\sqrt{3}$) he could have computed these approximations to π :

n	Approx. to π
1	3.10582854123025
2	3.13262861328124
3	3.13935020304687
4	3.14103195089051
5	3.14145247228546
6	3.14155760791186
7	3.14158389214832
8	3.14159046322805
9	3.14159210599927

The Gregory–Leibniz series found in the 1670's (known to Madhava ca. 1400) is

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{9} - \cdots$$

This comes from the infinite series

$$\arctan x = x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5} - \frac{x^7}{7} + \frac{x^9}{9} - \cdots,$$

valid for $|x| \leq 1$, and the fact that

$$\arctan 1 = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The series for arctan is derived as follows. In elementary calculus we show that

$$\arctan x = \int_0^x \frac{1}{1+t^2} dt.$$

Replacing the integrand by the series

$$\frac{1}{1+t^2} = 1 - t^2 + t^4 - t^6 + t^8 - \cdots$$

and integrating term-by-term gives (for -1 < x < 1)

$$\arctan x = x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5} - \frac{x^7}{7} + \frac{x^9}{9} - \cdots$$

Validity for $x = \pm 1$ follows from results in advanced calculus.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We can approximate π by truncating the Gregory–Leibniz series but this does not give accurate estimates.

# of terms of sum	Approx. to π
1	4.0000000000000000
2	2.666666666666666
3	3.466666666666666
4	2.89523809523810
5	3.33968253968254
6	2.97604617604618
7	3.28373848373848
8	3.01707181707182

- We do not even have the first place after the decimal point correct.
- We need to take several hundred terms of the sum to get the first two decimal places correct.
- To get the first six decimal places we would need tens of thousands of terms.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A much better way to approximate π comes from another arctangent identity attributed to Euler in 1738:

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = \arctan\frac{1}{2} + \arctan\frac{1}{3}$$

We can approximate each of these arctangent values by substituting x=1/2 and x=1/3 into the arctangent series

$$\arctan x = x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5} - \frac{x^7}{7} + \frac{x^9}{9} - \cdots$$

and truncating after some number of terms.

This gives the following (much better) approximations to π :

# of terms of sum	Approx. to π
1	3.33333333333333333
2	3.11728395061728
3	3.14557613168724
4	3.14085056176106
5	3.14174119743369
6	3.14156158787759
7	3.14159934096620
8	3.14159118436091
9	3.14159298133457
10	3.14159257960635

But how does one demonstrate the identity

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = \arctan\frac{1}{2} + \arctan\frac{1}{3}?$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

We will derive the addition formula for arctangent in the following form:

$$\arctan \frac{a_1}{b_1} + \arctan \frac{a_2}{b_2} = \arctan \frac{a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1}{b_1 b_2 - a_1 a_2},$$

valid whenever the left-hand side is between $-\pi/2$ and $\pi/2$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

We start with the addition formulae for sine and cosine:

$$\sin(\alpha + \beta) = \sin \alpha \cos \beta + \cos \alpha \sin \beta$$
$$\cos(\alpha + \beta) = \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \sin \alpha \sin \beta.$$

Divide the two equations to get

$$\tan(\alpha + \beta) = \frac{\sin \alpha \cos \beta + \cos \alpha \sin \beta}{\cos \alpha \cos \beta - \sin \alpha \sin \beta}.$$

Now divide numerator and denominator by $\cos \alpha \cos \beta$ to get the addition formula for tangent:

$$\tan(\alpha + \beta) = \frac{\tan \alpha + \tan \beta}{1 - \tan \alpha \tan \beta}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Now we set

$$\alpha = \arctan \frac{a_1}{b_1} \text{ and } \beta = \arctan \frac{a_2}{b_2}$$

and substitute into the addition formula for tangent.

We get

$$\tan\left(\arctan\frac{a_1}{b_1} + \arctan\frac{a_2}{b_2}\right) = \frac{\frac{a_1}{b_1} + \frac{a_2}{b_2}}{1 - \left(\frac{a_1}{b_1} \cdot \frac{a_2}{b_2}\right)}$$
$$= \frac{a_1b_2 + a_2b_1}{b_1b_2 - a_1a_2},$$

and so

$$\arctan \frac{a_1}{b_1} + \arctan \frac{a_2}{b_2} = \arctan \frac{a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1}{b_1 b_2 - a_1 a_2}$$

•

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Now we derive Euler's arctangent identity as follows:

$$\arctan \frac{1}{2} + \arctan \frac{1}{3} = \arctan \left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 2}{2 \cdot 3 - 1 \cdot 1} \right)$$
$$= \arctan \frac{5}{5}$$
$$= \arctan 1$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

An even better arctangent identity for computing π is due to Machin in 1706:

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = 4\arctan\frac{1}{5} - \arctan\frac{1}{239}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

This formula can also be proved using the same method.

First we compute

$$2 \arctan \frac{1}{5} = \arctan \frac{1}{5} + \arctan \frac{1}{5}$$
$$= \arctan \left(\frac{5+5}{25-1}\right)$$
$$= \arctan \frac{10}{24}$$
$$= \arctan \frac{5}{12}.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

It follows that

$$4 \arctan \frac{1}{5} = 2 \arctan \frac{5}{12}$$
$$= \arctan \frac{5}{12} + \arctan \frac{5}{12}$$
$$= \arctan \left(\frac{5 \cdot 12 + 5 \cdot 12}{12^2 - 5^2} \right)$$
$$= \arctan \frac{120}{119}.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Finally, we have

$$\arctan \frac{1}{239} + \frac{\pi}{4} = \arctan \frac{1}{239} + \arctan \frac{1}{1}$$
$$= \arctan \left(\frac{1+239}{239-1}\right)$$
$$= \arctan \frac{240}{238}$$
$$= \arctan \frac{120}{119}.$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Consequently,

$$4\arctan\frac{1}{5} = \arctan\frac{1}{239} + \frac{\pi}{4},$$

and so $% \label{eq:and_solution}$

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = 4\arctan\frac{1}{5} - \arctan\frac{1}{239},$$

as claimed.

This identity gives the following approximations to π :

# of terms of sum	Approx. to π
1	3.18326359832636
2	3.14059702932606
3	3.14162102932503
4	3.14159177218218
5	3.14159268240440
6	3.14159265261531
7	3.14159265362355
8	3.14159265358860
9	3.14159265358984
10	3.14159265358979

- Until the 1970's, most modern methods for computing π used a similar arctangent identity.
- In the mid-1800's, a Viennese calculator named Johann
 Zacharias Dase was able to compute π to 200 places
 upon being shown how to use the formula

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = \arctan\frac{1}{2} + \arctan\frac{1}{5} + \arctan\frac{1}{8}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Many other series representations (also products, continued fractions, etc.) of π are known. Newton used

$$\pi = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4} + 24\left(\frac{1}{3\cdot 8} - \frac{1}{5\cdot 32} - \frac{1}{7\cdot 128} - \frac{1}{9\cdot 512} - \cdots\right).$$

He recorded 15 digits in his diary, but stated,

"I am ashamed to tell you to how many figures I carried these computations, having no other business at the time."

A remarkable formula for π was obtained by Borwein, Bailey, and Plouffe in 1996:

$$\pi = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{16^i} \left(\frac{4}{8i+1} - \frac{2}{8i+4} - \frac{1}{8i+5} - \frac{1}{8i+6} \right).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The formula is special because it allows one to compute individual "digits" of the base-16 expansion of π directly, without computing any others.

- current record for most digits of π computed: 12.1 trillion digits (Yee and Kondo, 2013)
- For most practical purposes fewer than 20 digits accuracy is sufficient.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Why do people do these calculations?

The End