

## Quantum Computing (Introduction)

- Logic gates are operations on bits

• Classically, these can take any  $0 \leftrightarrow 1$  behavior:

+ 1 bit gates are

I:  $0 \rightarrow 0, 1 \rightarrow 1$ ; NOT:  $0 \rightarrow 1, 1 \rightarrow 0$ ; ZERO:  $0 \rightarrow 0, 1 \rightarrow 0$ ;

ONE:  $0 \rightarrow 1, 1 \rightarrow 1$

+ The simplest multi-bit gates take 2 bits to 1 bit.

These include AND, OR, NAND, NOR

• Quantum gates are a physical time evolution, so they are unitary operators

+ ZERO and ONE are not unitary. But there are 2 new possibilities. All 1 qubit gates are

I:  $|0\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle, |1\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$

NOT:  $|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle, |1\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle$

$R(\theta)$ :  $|0\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle, |1\rangle \rightarrow e^{i\theta} |1\rangle$  "phase rotation"

$H$ :  $|0\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle), |1\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$  Hadamard

+ In matrix form with

$|0\rangle \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, |1\rangle \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$I \approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{NOT} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, R(\theta) \approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix}, H \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

+ There cannot be 2 qubit  $\rightarrow$  1 qubit gates!

+ There are 2 qubit  $\rightarrow$  2 qubit gates. Take "controlled-NOT" or CNOT as an example

This reverses the 2<sup>nd</sup> qubit if the 1<sup>st</sup> is  $|1\rangle$  or

CNOT:  $|0\rangle|0\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle|0\rangle, |0\rangle|1\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle|1\rangle$

$|1\rangle|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle|1\rangle, |1\rangle|1\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle|0\rangle$

You can represent this as addition mod 2

$\text{CNOT}(|x\rangle|y\rangle) = |x\rangle|x \oplus y\rangle$

+ How you actually carry out a quantum gate depends on how you realize a qubit

- No-Cloning Theorem: Can you copy a qubit without measuring it and destroying superpositions?

- Let's suppose we have 2 qubits in state  $|4\rangle, |0\rangle_2$  where  $|4\rangle$  is an unknown superposition of  $|0\rangle + |1\rangle$
- + A "copy" operator  $C$  would take

$$C(|4\rangle, |0\rangle_2) = |4\rangle, |4\rangle_2 \quad \text{for any } |4\rangle$$

+  $C$  must be unitary, so  $C^\dagger C = 1$

- For  $|4\rangle \neq |\phi\rangle$ , consider inner product of  $|\alpha\rangle = C(|4\rangle, |0\rangle_2)$  with  $|\beta\rangle = C(|\phi\rangle, |0\rangle_2)$ . + We know  $\langle\alpha|\alpha\rangle = \langle|\phi\rangle, |\phi\rangle\rangle = \langle|\phi\rangle, |\phi\rangle\rangle$  and  $\langle\beta|\beta\rangle = \langle|\phi\rangle, |\phi\rangle\rangle$

$$\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle = \langle C(|4\rangle, |0\rangle_2) | C(|\phi\rangle, |0\rangle_2) \rangle = \langle 4|\phi\rangle, \langle 0|0\rangle_2 = \langle 4|\phi\rangle$$

$$\text{+ But also } \langle\alpha|\beta\rangle = \langle C^\dagger C(|4\rangle, |0\rangle_2) | C(|\phi\rangle, |0\rangle_2) \rangle = \langle 4|\phi\rangle, \langle 0|0\rangle_2 = \langle 4|\phi\rangle$$

+ This can only be true if  $|4\rangle = |\phi\rangle$  or  $\langle 4|\phi\rangle = 0$ .

So  $C$  cannot copy all qubits!

- Quantum Teleportation: We can't copy an unknown qubit, but we can send it somewhere else

- Specifically, we have unknown qubit #1  $|4\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$
- We will turn qubit #1 into something else and a different qubit into  $|4\rangle$

+ To be concrete, let a qubit be an electron spin  $|0\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle, |1\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle$

+ To prepare the procedure, take qubit  $|4\rangle$ , and 2 other electrons in spin state

$$|S=0\rangle_{2,3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle_2 |\downarrow\rangle_3 - |\downarrow\rangle_2 |\uparrow\rangle_3)$$

+ Keep #2 with #1 and send #3 to receiver

But the state of the system is still

$$|\Psi\rangle = |4\rangle, |S=0\rangle_{2,3} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle_1 |\uparrow\rangle_2 |\downarrow\rangle_3 - |\uparrow\rangle_1 |\downarrow\rangle_2 |\uparrow\rangle_3) + \frac{b}{\sqrt{2}} (|\downarrow\rangle_1 |\uparrow\rangle_2 |\downarrow\rangle_3 - |\downarrow\rangle_1 |\downarrow\rangle_2 |\uparrow\rangle_3)$$

• Procedure:

+ We still have qubits #1 + #2 and can measure them.

+ We will measure  $(S_2^{(tot)})^2$  of these

2 spins. The  $e$ 's values +  $e$ 's states are

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= |\Psi^-\rangle_{1,2} & &= |\Psi^+\rangle_{1,2} \\
 S_z^2 = 0 & \left\{ \begin{aligned} |1\rangle_{1,2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle_1|\downarrow\rangle_2 - |\downarrow\rangle_1|\uparrow\rangle_2) \\ |2\rangle_{1,2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle_1|\downarrow\rangle_2 + |\downarrow\rangle_1|\uparrow\rangle_2) \\ &= |\Psi^+\rangle_{1,2} \end{aligned} \right. & S_z^2 = 1 & \left\{ \begin{aligned} |3\rangle_{1,2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle_1|\uparrow\rangle_2 + |\downarrow\rangle_1|\downarrow\rangle_2) \\ |4\rangle_{1,2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle_1|\uparrow\rangle_2 - |\downarrow\rangle_1|\downarrow\rangle_2) \\ &= |\Psi^-\rangle_{1,2} \end{aligned} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

+ So after this measurement, we know qubits #1 + #2 are either in  $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$  if  $S_z^2 = 0$  or  $\{|3\rangle, |4\rangle\}$  if  $S_z^2 = 1$ .

+ In the 1st case, measure  $S_x^2$ . If  $s=0$ , state  $|1\rangle_{1,2}$ , if  $s=1$ , state  $|2\rangle_{1,2}$ . In the 2nd case, measure  $S_x^2$  to distinguish  $|3\rangle_{1,2}$  from  $|4\rangle_{1,2}$ .

+ We can rewrite the initial total state as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left[ |1\rangle_{1,2} (-a|\uparrow\rangle_3 + b|\downarrow\rangle_3) + |2\rangle_{1,2} (-a|\uparrow\rangle_3 - b|\downarrow\rangle_3) + |3\rangle_{1,2} (a|\downarrow\rangle_3 - b|\uparrow\rangle_3) + |4\rangle_{1,2} (a|\downarrow\rangle_3 + b|\uparrow\rangle_3) \right]$$

+ After our measurements,  $|\Psi\rangle$  has collapsed to one of these 4 terms. Further, by using an appropriately chosen NOTs or  $R(\pi)_3$ , which we can choose by our measurement, the receiver can turn qubit #3 to  $|4\rangle_3$ .

- Note again: We start with #2 + #3 entangled. By entangling #1 w/ #2 using our measurements, we disentangle #3. This transfers  $|4\rangle_1$  to  $|4\rangle_3$ . (Entanglement is a computational resource)

## - Deutsch's Algorithm

- Qubits can be superposed, so it's possible to do a form of parallel computing on a single qubit. Deutsch's algorithm is a somewhat contrived example but is the 1st to show a speed up vs classical computing.

- Suppose we have a function  $f: \{0,1\} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ . There are 4 possibilities (the 4 classical 1-bit gates)

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 0 \rightarrow 0 & 0 \rightarrow 1 & 0 \rightarrow 0 & 0 \rightarrow 1 \\
 1 \rightarrow 0 & 1 \rightarrow 0 & 1 \rightarrow 1 & 1 \rightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

+ These are in 2 categories: even # of 1s or odd # of 1s  
 + Classically, to find which category  $f$  is in, we must evaluate  $f(0)$  and  $f(1)$ .

• Algorithm

+ Implement  $f$  on qubits by "f-controlled NOT" f-CNOT.

$$f\text{-CNOT}(|x\rangle, |y\rangle_2) = |x\rangle, |f(x) \oplus y\rangle_2$$

+ We start with 2 qubits  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle_2$  and take  $H_1, H_2$  to get state

$$|4\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (|0\rangle, |0\rangle_2 + |0\rangle, |1\rangle_2 + |1\rangle, |0\rangle_2 + |1\rangle, |1\rangle_2)$$

+ Act with f-CNOT. This gives

$$f\text{-CNOT} |4\rangle = \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle, (|f(0)\rangle_2 - |f(0) \oplus 1\rangle_2) + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle, (|f(1)\rangle_2 - |f(1) \oplus 1\rangle_2)$$

+ Now, notice for any  $f$

$$|f\rangle - |f \oplus 1\rangle = \begin{cases} |0\rangle - |1\rangle & (f=0) \\ |1\rangle - |0\rangle & (f=1) \end{cases} = (-1)^f (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

Therefore

$$f\text{-CNOT} |4\rangle = (-1)^{f(0)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) (|0\rangle + (-1)^{f(0)+f(1)} |1\rangle) (|0\rangle_2 - |1\rangle_2)$$

+ Act again with  $H_1, H_2$ . Since our state is factorized, we just need to know

$$H_2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle_2 - |1\rangle_2)\right) = |1\rangle_2$$

$$\text{and } H_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} (|0\rangle + (-1)^{f(0)+f(1)} |1\rangle)\right) = \begin{cases} |0\rangle & \text{if } f(0)+f(1) \text{ even} \\ |1\rangle & \text{if odd} \end{cases}$$

+ Then we just need to measure qubit #1 to get the answer.

• This only requires us to evaluate  $f$  once (though we do have to use Hadamard operators). But suppose we have a function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{0, 1\}$ . Classically, we must evaluate a lot but still only once in a quantum computer!

• There are major speed increases for searching (Grover) and prime factorization (Shor) - important for encryption.