

# Hamiltonian Mechanics + Symmetries

## - Hamilton's Equations

### • Changing Variables

+ The Lagrangian is a function  $L = L(q_1, \dots, q_n, \dot{q}_1, \dots, \dot{q}_n)$   
of coordinates + time derivatives

+ While we think of varying the action wrt  $q$ , the E-L eqs treat  $q$  +  $\dot{q}$  as  $2N$  indep variables

+ We can swap out the  $\dot{q}$  dependence for the canonical momenta  $p_i \equiv \partial L / \partial \dot{q}_i$  by inverting the relation to  $\dot{q}_i \rightarrow \dot{q}_i(q, p)$

• To change the independent variables of a function, we can use a Legendre transform

+ If  $f = f(x)$ , define  $y = df/dx(x)$  w/ invst.

+ then define

$$g(y) = xy - f(x(y))$$

+ The reciprocal relationship is  $dg/dy = x(y)$

Check:

$$dg/dy = x + y \frac{dx}{dy} - \frac{df}{dx} \frac{dx}{dy} = x \quad \checkmark$$

+ We define the Hamiltonian  $H(q, p)$  as the Legendre transform of the Lagrangian  $L(q, \dot{q})$

$$H(q, p) = \left( \sum_i p_i \dot{q}_i \right) - L(q, \dot{q}(q, p))$$

+ Other Legendre transform pairs in physics are

• Most notably in thermodynamics:  $T, S$  vs  $\mu, N$

+ The energy  $\xleftarrow{P, V}$  enthalpy  $\xleftrightarrow{S, T}$  Gibbs free energy  
 $\xrightarrow{S, T}$  Helmholtz free energy  $\xleftarrow{P, V}$

• Hamilton's eqs follow from E-L eqs

+ The  $H$  is from the canonical momentum + Legendre transform

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} = \dot{q}_i + \sum_j p_j \frac{\partial \dot{q}_j}{\partial p_i} - \sum_j \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \frac{\partial \dot{q}_j}{\partial p_i} = \dot{q}_i$$

+ The second is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} &= \sum_j p_j \frac{\partial \dot{q}_j}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} - \sum_j \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \frac{\partial \dot{q}_j}{\partial q_i} \\ &= -\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = -\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) = -\dot{p}_i\end{aligned}$$

+ Put together,

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \quad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}$$

are Hamilton's eqns

+ We have swapped  $N$  2<sup>nd</sup> order DEs for  $2N$  1<sup>st</sup> order. This can be advantageous, esp. for computing

• Meaning of the Hamiltonian

+ Suppose we have a natural system, so Cartesian coords  $x_i \equiv x_i(q)$  with no explicit time dependence

+ Then the usual kinetic energy is given by

$$x_i = \sum_j (\partial x_i / \partial q_j) \dot{q}_j \quad \text{to be}$$

$$T = \sum_{ij} A_{ij}(q) \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j, \quad \text{2<sup>nd</sup> order homogeneous polynomial}$$

+ From this, we see  $\sum_i (\partial T / \partial \dot{q}_i) \dot{q}_i = 2T$

+ With a conservative force  $V = V(q)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}H &= \sum_i p_i \dot{q}_i - L = \left( \sum_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \dot{q}_i \right) - (T - V) \\ &= T + V\end{aligned}$$

This is total energy!

+ What's the time derivative?

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \sum_i \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i + \sum_i \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \dot{p}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \quad \text{by Hamilton's eqns}$$

This means the Hamiltonian is conserved as long as it does not have explicit time dependence

• One note: In the Lagrangian formalism,  $q$  +  $\dot{q}$  and

the variations  $\delta q$  and  $\delta \dot{q}$  are related by differentiation - NOT indep.

But in Hamiltonian mechanics,  $q$  +  $p$  are treated as indep variables. It is possible to transform coords such as  $q \rightarrow \tilde{q}(q, p)$ ,  $p \rightarrow \tilde{p}(q, p)$

## - Examples

### • Spherical Pendulum (again)

+ Recall

$$L = \frac{1}{2} m l^2 (\dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2) + mgl \cos \theta + \text{const.}$$

+ The canonical momenta are

$$p_{\theta} = m l^2 \dot{\theta}, \quad p_{\phi} = m l^2 \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}$$

with Hamiltonian

$$H = p_{\theta}^2 / m l^2 + p_{\phi}^2 / m l^2 \sin^2 \theta - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{m l^2} + \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{m l^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right) - mgl \cos \theta + \text{const.}$$
$$= \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{2 m l^2} + \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2 m l^2 \sin^2 \theta} - mgl \cos \theta + \text{const.}$$

+ Since  $H$  does not depend on  $\phi$ ,  $\dot{p}_{\phi} = 0$

Because  $H$  depends on  $p_{\phi}$  (not  $\dot{\phi}$ ), which is constant, you see the effective potential

$$H = \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{2 m l^2} + U(\theta), \quad U = \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2 m l^2 \sin^2 \theta} - mgl \cos \theta + \text{const.}$$

(Remember that this didn't work in the Lagrangian version)

### • Electromagnetism

+ Remember that we had a velocity dependent potential, or

$$L = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{\vec{x}}^2 + q \dot{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{A} - q \Phi$$

+ The canonical momenta are

$$\vec{p} = m \dot{\vec{x}} + q \vec{A}$$

so

$$H = \vec{p} \cdot (\vec{p} - q \vec{A}) / m - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{p} - q \vec{A})^2 / m - q \vec{A} \cdot (\vec{p} - q \vec{A}) / m + q \Phi$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} (\vec{p} - q \vec{A})^2 + q \Phi$$

+ Note that the canonical momentum is not the usual one.

The Hamiltonian is also not the total energy

## - Symmetries + Conservation Laws

### • Ignorable / Cyclic Coordinates

+ In our spherical pendulum example,  $\partial H / \partial \phi = 0$ ,

so  $p_{\phi}$  was conserved.

+ Coordinates in which it does not depend are called ignorable or cyclic and lead to conservation laws

• The canonical momenta enter into effective potentials

+ There are advanced techniques based on finding cyclic coordinates (this will wait!)

+ The conservation laws are related to a symmetry of motion, i.e., translational symmetry (linear momentum), axial symmetry ( $J_z$ ), spherical symmetry ( $J^2$ )

• But what if there is a symmetry but we have no cyclic coord? For ex, rotational symmetry w/ Cartesian coords

• Transformations + the Poisson Bracket

+ In Hamiltonian mechanics, an infinitesimal transformation changes  $q \rightarrow q + \delta q$ ,  $p \rightarrow p + \delta p$ , with  $\delta q, \delta p \propto \delta \lambda$  a small parameter. Ex for a rotation,  $\delta \lambda = \delta \theta = \text{small angle of rotation}$

+ A transformation is generated by function  $G(q, p, t)$  if

$$\delta q_i = \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i} \delta \lambda, \quad \delta p_i = -\frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \delta \lambda$$

+ Hamilton's eqns tell us  $H$  generates translations of time  
b/c  $\delta q_i = \dot{q}_i \delta t$ ,  $\delta p_i = \dot{p}_i \delta t$

+ The change of general quantity  $F(q, p, t)$  is

$$\delta F = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \delta q_i + \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \delta p_i \right) = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \right) \delta \lambda$$

This is the Poisson bracket

$$\{F, G\} = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \right)$$

(It is related to the quantum commutator)

+ Note that  $\{F, G\} = -\{G, F\}$

• Time dependence + Conservation

+ We noted that  $H$  generates time translations based on  $\delta q, \delta p$  laws

+ A general quantity  $F(q, p, t)$  has

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \sum_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i + \sum_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \dot{p}_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \{F, H\} \text{ by Hamilton's eqns}$$

+ Now suppose we have a transformation generated by  $G(q, p)$  (ie,  $\partial G/\partial t = 0$ ). It is a symmetry of  $H$  if

$$\delta H = \{H, G\} \delta \lambda = 0$$

and it is conserved if

$$dG/dt = \{G, H\} = 0$$

Because the P.B. is antisymmetric, the generator of a symmetry transformation is conserved.

This is Noether's theorem ( Emmy Noether )

+ There is a generalization when  $\partial G/\partial t \neq 0$ . See reading

• Lagrangian version of Noether's theorem

+ Under a transformation  $q \rightarrow q + \delta q$ , the Lagrangian changes

$$\delta L = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} \delta q_i + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \delta \dot{q}_i \right)$$

If this is a symmetry,  $\delta L = 0$  no matter the trajectory  $q(t)$ .

+ On the other hand, if the trajectory is the classical one, it satisfies the E-L eqns

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right)$$

+ Then, for a symmetry, classical trajectories satisfy

$$0 = \delta L = \sum_i \left( \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) \delta q_i + \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} \delta q_i \right)$$

$$= \frac{dG}{dt} \text{ where } G = \sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \delta q_i$$

+ This is the original version + tells you how to find the conserved quantity

+ What matters is actually the action  $\delta S = 0$ , so we can have  $\delta L = dG/dt$  for some function  $G$ .

This leads to a more general version (as above)

• Example: rotation around  $z$  axis (see reading for more)

+ The transformation is (for each particle)

$$\delta x = -y \delta \phi, \quad \delta y = x \delta \phi, \quad \delta z = 0$$

$$\delta p_x = -p_y \delta \phi, \quad \delta p_y = p_x \delta \phi, \quad \delta p_z = 0$$

+ The generator is

$$J_z = \sum (x p_y - y p_x)$$

Then

$$\delta H = \sum \left( -y \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} - p_y \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_x} + p_x \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_y} \right)$$

If we include all components, this vanishes if all  $\vec{x}, \vec{p}$  dependence is through dot products

## - Other theoretical developments

• Liouville's Theorem

+ In Hamiltonian mechanics, we describe the state of a system by position in phase space, which is the  $2N$ -dimensional space given by  $(q_i, p_i)$

+ In stat. mech, we might ask about the evolution in phase space of an ensemble of systems w/ similar initial  $(q, p)$  values. We can describe them via a density in phase space

+ How does this density change in time?

Consider any kind of density in space. If we integrate over a region of space

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_V d^3x \rho \right) = - \oint_A d\vec{S} \cdot (\rho \vec{v})$$

where  $\vec{v}$  is the velocity of the stuff making up the density

Gauss's theorem lets us write

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = - \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) \quad \text{Continuity eqn}$$

+ In phase space, the continuity equation is

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = - \sum_i \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} (\rho \dot{q}_i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} (\rho \dot{p}_i) \right]$$

$$= - \sum_i \left[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p_i} \dot{p}_i \right] - \rho \sum_i \left[ \frac{\partial \dot{q}_i}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial \dot{p}_i}{\partial p_i} \right]$$

+ The latter 2 terms are

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial q_i \partial p_i} - \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_i \partial q_i} = 0 \text{ by Hamilton's eqns}$$

+ Then

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \sum_i \left[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p_i} \dot{p}_i \right] = \frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0$$

This is Liouville's theorem: the density of similar systems in phase space is unchanged following the paths of these systems in time

+ Useful for thermal systems, paths of particles in accelerators, stars in galaxies, etc.

### • The virial theorem

+ Suppose we have a set of particles such that  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{p}$  stay finite for all time. Consider  $F = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{p}$ .

+ Then the time average

$$\langle \frac{dF}{dt} \rangle = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \frac{dF}{dt} = \frac{1}{T} (F(T) - F(0)) \rightarrow 0$$

at long times  $T$

$$\begin{aligned} + \text{ But also } \frac{dF}{dt} &= \sum_{\text{particles}} (\dot{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{p} + \vec{x} \cdot \dot{\vec{p}}) \\ \Rightarrow \langle \dot{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{p} \rangle &= - \langle \sum \vec{x} \cdot \dot{\vec{p}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

+ For the usual kinetic energy, this is

$$2 \langle T \rangle = - \langle \sum \vec{F} \cdot \vec{x} \rangle \quad \text{virial theorem}$$

+ You can use this to derive the ideal gas law, for ex.

$$+ \text{ If } \vec{F} = -\nabla V \text{ with } V \propto r^{n+1} \quad \langle T \rangle = \left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right) \langle V \rangle$$

This is useful for harmonic oscillators, gravity, etc.